arXiv:1601.04988v2 [math.NT] 28 Jan 2016

Preprint, arXiv:1601.04988

A NEW RESULT IN COMBINATORIAL NUMBER THEORY

FAN GE AND ZHI-WEI SUN*

Abstract. Let G be a finite abelian group with exponent $n>1$. For $a_1,\ldots,a_{n-1}\in G$, we determine completely when there is a permutation σ on $\{1,\ldots,n-1\}$ such that $sa_{\sigma(s)}\neq 0$ for all $s=1,\ldots,n-1$. When G is the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, this confirms a conjecture of Z.-W. Sun.

1. Introduction

Let $n\in\mathbb{Z}^+=\{1,2,3,\ldots\}$ and let S_n denote the symmetry group of all permutations on $\{1,\ldots,n\}$. A conjecture of G. Cramer stated that for any integers $m_1,\ldots,m_n$ with $\sum_{s=1}^n m_s\equiv 0\pmod n$ there is a permutation $\sigma\in S_n$ such that $1+m_{\sigma(1)},\ldots,n+m_{\sigma(n)}$ are pairwise distinct modulo n. In 1952 M. Hall [H] proved an extension of this conjecture.

In 1999 H. S. Snevily [Sn] conjectured that if $n>1$ is an integer and $m_1,\ldots,m_k$ are integers with $k\leqslant n-1$ then there is a permutation $\sigma\in S_k$ such that $1+m_{\sigma(1)},\ldots,k+m_{\sigma(k)}$ are pairwise distinct modulo n. This was confirmed by A. E. Kézdy and Snevily [KS] in the case $k\leqslant(n+1)/2$, and an application to tree embeddings was also given in [KS].

Let $n>1$ and $m_1,\ldots,m_{n-1}$ be integers. When is there a permutation $\sigma\in S_{n-1}$ such that none of the $n-1$ numbers $sm_{\sigma(s)}$ $(s=1,\ldots,n-1)$ is congruent to 0 modulo n? If there is such a permutation σ, then for each positive divisor d of n we have

$$|\{1\leqslant c<d:\ d\nmid m_{\sigma(cn/d)}\}|\geqslant\left|\left\{1\leqslant c<d:\ n\nmid\frac{cn}{d}m_{\sigma(cn/d)}\right\}\right|=d-1,$$

and hence the sequence $\{m_s\}_{s=1}^{n-1}$ has the following property:

$$\left|\{1\leqslant s<n:\ d\nmid m_s\}\right|\geqslant d-1\ \text{ for any } d\in D(n),\tag{1.1}$$

where $D(n)$ denotes the set of all positive divisors of n.

In 2004 the second author (cf. [S09]) made the following conjecture.

Key words and phrases. Combinatorial number theory, abelian group, subset sum.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 05E15, 11B75; Secondary 11A07, 11P70, 20K01.

*This corresponding author is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant 11571162).

Conjecture 1.1. (Z.-W. Sun) *Let $n > 1$ be an integer. If $m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_{n-1}$ are integers satisfying* (1.1)*, then there exists a permutation σ on $\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ such that $n \nmid sm_{\sigma(s)}$ for all $s = 1, \ldots, n-1$.*

In this paper we aim to prove an extension of this conjecture for finite abelian groups.

For a finite multiplicative group G, its exponent $\exp(G)$ is defined to be the least positive integer such that $x^n = e$ for all $x \in G$, where e is the identity of G. For a finite abelian group G, $\exp(G)$ is known to be $\max\{o(x) : \ x \in G\}$, where $o(x)$ denotes the order of x. If G is an additive group, then for $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $a \in G$ we write ka for the sum $a_1 + \ldots + a_k$ with $a_1 = \cdots = a_k = a$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let G be a finite additive group with exponent $n > 1$. For any $a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1} \in G$, there is a permutation $\sigma \in S_{n-1}$ such that all the elements $sa_{\sigma(s)}$ $(s = 1, \ldots, n-1)$ are nonzero if and only if*

$$\left|\left\{1 \leqslant s < n : \ \frac{n}{d}a_s \neq 0\right\}\right| \geqslant d - 1 \ \text{ for all } d \in D(n). \tag{1.2}$$

Applying Theorem 1.1 to the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, we immediately confirm Conjecture 1.1 of Sun. As an application, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_{n-1}$ $(n > 1)$ be integers satisfying* (1.1)*. Then the set*

$$\left\{\sum_{i\in I} m_i : \ I \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n-1\}\right\}$$

contains a complete system of residues modulo n.

Obviously Theorem 1.2 extends the following result of the second author (cf. the paragraph following [S03, Theorem 2.5]).

Corollary 1.1. *Let $n > 1$ be an integer and let $m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_{n-1}$ be integers all relatively prime to n. Then the set $\left\{\sum_{i\in I} m_i : \ I \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n-1\}\right\}$ contains a complete system of residues modulo n.*

As usual, for any $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, we write (a, n) for the greatest common divisor of a and n.

Let $n > 1$ be an integer. If $m_s \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $(m_s, n) \leqslant s$ for all $s = 1, \ldots, n-1$, then for any $d \in D(n)$ we have

$$|\{1 \leqslant s < n : \ d \nmid m_s\}| \geqslant |\{1 \leqslant s < n : \ s < d\}| = d - 1,$$

and hence by Theorem 1.1 for some $\sigma \in S_{n-1}$ we have $n \nmid \sigma(s)m_s$ for all $s = 1, \ldots, n-1$. This is equivalent to the following theorem in the case $a_1 = \cdots = a_{n-1}$.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_{n-1}$ $(n > 1)$ be integers with $(m_s, n) \leqslant s$ for all $s = 1, \ldots, n-1$. For any $a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1} \in \mathbb{Z}$, there is a function $f : \{1, \ldots, n-1\} \to \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ such that the sums*

$$f(1) + a_1, \ \ldots, \ f(n-1) + a_{n-1}$$

are pairwise distinct modulo n and also none of the numbers

$$f(1)m_1, \ \ldots, \ f(n-1)m_{n-1}$$

is divisible by n.

Motivated by Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, we pose the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.2. *Let G be a finite abelian group with exponent $n > 1$. If $a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}$ are elements of G with $sa_s \neq 0$ for all $s = 1, \ldots, n-1$, then we have*

$$\left|\left\{ \sum_{i\in I} a_i : \ I \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n-1\} \right\}\right| \geqslant n. \tag{1.3}$$

By Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, this conjecture holds for finite cyclic groups. For any finite abelian group G with exponent $n > 1$, it has a cyclic subgroup H of order n, and hence for $a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1} \in H$ the set $\{\sum_{i\in I} a_i : \ I \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n-1\}\}$ contains at most n elements of G.

We will show Theorem 1.1 in the next section and prove Theorems 1.2-1.3 in Section 3.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Proof of the Necessariness. If there is a permutation $\sigma \in S_{n-1}$ such that $sa_{\sigma(s)} \neq 0$ for all $s = 1, \ldots, n-1$, then for any $d \in D(n)$ we have

$$\left|\left\{1 \leqslant s < n : \ \frac{n}{d}a_s \neq 0\right\}\right| \geqslant \left|\left\{1 \leqslant c < d : \ \frac{cn}{d}a_{\sigma(cn/d)} \neq 0\right\}\right| = d - 1.$$

This concludes the proof of the necessariness. □

Proof of the Sufficiency. Suppose that the sufficiency is false. Then there are $a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1} \in G$ satisfying (1.2) such that the set

$$I(\sigma) := \{1 \leqslant i < n : ia_{\sigma(i)} = 0\} = \{1 \leqslant i < n : o(a_{\sigma(i)}) \mid i\}$$

is nonempty for any $\sigma \in S_{n-1}$. Take such $a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1} \in G$ with $\sum_{s=1}^{n-1} o(a_s)$ maximal.

Choose $\sigma \in S_{n-1}$ with $|I(\sigma)|$ minimal. As $n = \exp(G)$, there is an element x of G with $o(x) = n$. Let $j \in I(\sigma)$, and for $s = 1, \ldots, n-1$ define

$$a_s^* = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } s = \sigma(j), \\ a_s & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If $(n/d)a_{\sigma(j)} \neq 0$ with $d \in D(n)$, then $d > 1$ and $(n/d)x \neq 0$. As $o(a_{\sigma(j)}) \mid j$, we have $o(a_{\sigma(j)}) \leqslant j < n = o(x)$. Since $\sum_{s=1}^{n-1} o(a_s^*) > \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} o(a_s)$, by our choice of $a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}$, for some $\tau \in S_{n-1}$ we have $sa_{\tau(s)}^* \neq 0$ for all $s = 1, \ldots, n-1$. For any $1 \leqslant s < n$ with $\tau(s) \neq \sigma(j)$, we have $sa_{\tau(s)} = sa_{\tau(s)}^* \neq 0$. Thus $|I(\tau)| \leqslant 1 \leqslant |I(\sigma)|$. Combining this with the choice of σ, we see that $|I(\sigma)| = 1$.

For $\pi \in S_{n-1}$ with $|I(\pi)| = 1$, by i_π we denote the unique element of $I(\pi)$. Without loss of generality, below we assume that

$$i_\sigma = \min\{i_\pi : \ \pi \in S_{n-1} \text{ and } |I(\pi)| = 1\}. \tag{2.1}$$

For simplicity, now we just write i for i_σ. As $o(a_{\sigma(i)})$ divides both i and $n = \exp(G)$, we have $o(a_{\sigma(i)}) \mid i_n$, where $i_n = (i, n)$.

Now we show that $i \mid n$. Suppose that $i \nmid n$. Then $i_n \neq i$, $i_n \notin I(\sigma)$ and hence $0 \neq i_n a_{\sigma(i_n)}$. Thus $o(a_{\sigma(i_n)}) \nmid i_n$ and hence $o(a_{\sigma(i_n)}) \nmid i$. Therefore

$$ia_{\sigma(ii_n)(i)} = ia_{\sigma(i_n)} \neq 0 \ \text{ and } \ i_n a_{\sigma(ii_n)(i_n)} = i_n a_{\sigma(i)} = 0,$$

where $\sigma(ii_n)$ is the product of σ and the cyclic permutation (ii_n). So we get $|I(\sigma(ii_n))| = 1$ and $i_{\sigma(ii_n)} = i_n < i = i_\sigma$, which contradicts (2.1).

Assume that $1 \leqslant j < n$ and $o(a_{\sigma(j)}) \nmid i$. Then $j \neq i$ since $o(a_{\sigma(i)}) \mid i$. For any $s = 1, \ldots, n-1$ with $s \neq i, j$, we have

$$sa_{\sigma(ij)(s)} = sa_{\sigma(s)} \neq 0.$$

Also, $ia_{\sigma(ij)(i)} = ia_{\sigma(j)} \neq 0$ since $o(a_{\sigma(j)}) \nmid i$. As $|I(\sigma(ij))| \geqslant |I(\sigma)| = 1$, we must have $0 = ja_{\sigma(ij)(j)} = ja_{\sigma(i)}$, i.e., $o(a_{\sigma(i)}) \mid j$. Since $I(\sigma(ij)) = \{j\}$, we have $j = i_{\sigma(ij)} > i = i_\sigma$.

Now suppose that $1 \leqslant k < i$. By the last paragraph, we must have $o(a_{\sigma(k)}) \mid i$. For any $s = 1, \ldots, n-1$ with $s \neq i, j, k$, we have $sa_{\sigma(kij)(s)} = sa_{\sigma(s)} \neq 0$. Note that $ia_{\sigma(kij)(i)} = ia_{\sigma(j)} \neq 0$. If $0 \neq ja_{\sigma(k)} = ja_{\sigma(kij)(j)}$, then we must have $I(\sigma(kij)) = \{k\}$ and hence $i_{\sigma(kij)} = k < i = i_\sigma$ which leads to a contradiction. Therefore, $0 = ja_{\sigma(k)}$, i.e., $o(a_{\sigma(k)}) \mid j$. Since $o(_{\sigma(k)})$ also divides i, we have $o(a_{\sigma(k)}) \mid (i, j)$.

Suppose that j is not divisible by i. Then $k := (i,j) < i$. By the last paragraph, $o(a_{\sigma(k)})$ divides $(i,j) = k$. This contradicts the fact that $ka_{\sigma(k)} \neq 0$.

In view of the above, $i \in D(n)$, and $i < j$ and $i \mid j$ for any $1 \leqslant j < n$ with $o(a_{\sigma(j)}) \nmid i$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}|\{1 \leqslant s < n :\ o(a_s) \nmid i\}| =& |\{1 \leqslant j < n :\ o(a_{\sigma(j)}) \nmid i\}| \\ \leqslant& |\{i < j < n :\ i \mid j\}| = \frac{n}{i} - 2,\end{aligned}$$

and hence for $d = n/i \in D(n)$ we have

$$\left|\left\{1 \leqslant s < n :\ \frac{n}{d}a_s \neq 0\right\}\right| < d - 1$$

which contradicts our condition (1.2). □

3. Proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3

For a real number x, we let $\{x\} = x - \lfloor x \rfloor$ be its fractional part. For any real numbers α and β, we set $\alpha + \beta\mathbb{Z} = \{\alpha + \beta q :\ q \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.

We need the following result of the second author [S95, Theorem 1].

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k$ be real numbers and let $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_k$ be positive reals. If $A = \{\alpha_s + \beta_s\mathbb{Z}\}_{s=1}^k$ covers consecutive*

$$\left|\left\{\left\{\sum_{s\in I}\frac{1}{\beta_s}\right\} :\ I \subseteq \{1,\ldots,k\}\right\}\right|$$

integers, then it covers all the integers.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Without loss of generality, we may simply assume that $m_1, \ldots, m_{n-1} \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. By the confirmed Conjecture 1.1, for some $\sigma \in S_{n-1}$ we have $n \nmid sm_{\sigma(s)}$ for all $s = 1, \ldots, n-1$. Note that $A = \{s + (n/m_{\sigma(s)})\mathbb{Z}\}_{s=1}^{n-1}$ covers $1, \ldots, n-1$ but it does not cover 0. By Lemma 3.1, the fractional parts

$$\left\{\sum_{s\in I}\frac{1}{n/m_{\sigma(s)}}\right\} \quad (I \subseteq \{1,\ldots,n-1\})$$

must have more than $n-1$ distinct values. Thus, the set

$$\left\{\sum_{i\in I} m_i :\ I \subseteq \{1,\ldots,n-1\}\right\} = \left\{\sum_{s\in I} m_{\sigma(s)} :\ I \subseteq \{1,\ldots,n-1\}\right\}$$

contains a complete system of residues modulo n. This concludes our proof of Theorem 1.2. □

To prove Theorem 1.3, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. (Alon's Combinatorial Nullstellensatz [A]) *Let $A_1,\ldots,A_n$ be finite subsets of a field F with $|A_i|>k_i$ for $i=1,\ldots,n$ where $k_1,\ldots,k_n$ are nonnegative integers. If the coefficient of the monomial $x_1^{k_1}\cdots x_n^{k_n}$ in $P(x_1,\ldots,x_n)\in F[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$ is nonzero and $k_1+\cdots+k_n$ is the total degree of P, then there are $a_1\in A_1,\ldots,a_n\in A_n$ such that $P(a_1,\ldots,a_n)\neq 0$.*

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Take a prime power $q\equiv 1\pmod n$ and consider the finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$. As $\mathbb{F}_q^*=\mathbb{F}_q\setminus\{0\}$ is a cyclic group of order $q-1$, and n is a divisor of $q-1$, there is an element $g\in\mathbb{F}_q^*$ of order n. For $i=1,\ldots,n-1$ define

$$A_i:=\{g^k:\ 1\leqslant k\leqslant n-1 \text{ and } (g^k)^{m_i}\neq 1\}.$$

Then $|A_i|=n-(m_i,n)\geqslant n-i$ for all $i=1,\ldots,n-1$. For the polynomial

$$P(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1}):=\prod_{1\leqslant i<j\leqslant n-1}\left(g^{a_i}x_i-g^{a_j}x_j\right),$$

we clearly have

$$\begin{aligned}P(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1})&=\det\left|(g^{a_i}x_i)^{j-1}\right|_{1\leqslant i,j\leqslant n-1}\\&=\sum_{\sigma\in S_{n-1}}\mathrm{sign}(\sigma)\prod_{i=1}^{n-1}(g^{a_i}x_i)^{\sigma(i)-1},\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathrm{sign}(\sigma)$, the sign of σ, takes 1 or -1 according as the permutation σ is even odd. Choose $\sigma_0\in S_{n-1}$ with $\sigma_0(i)=n-i$ for all $i=1,\ldots,n-1$. Then the coefficient of the monomial $\prod_{i=1}^{n-1}x_i^{n-1-i}$ in $P(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1})$ coincides with

$$\mathrm{sign}(\sigma_0)\prod_{i=1}^{n-1}(g^{a_i})^{n-i-1}\neq 0,$$

and $\deg P=\binom{n-1}{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(n-1-i)$. In view of Lemma 3.2, there are $x_1\in A_1,\ldots,x_{n-1}\in A_{n-1}$ such that $P(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1})\neq 0$.

Write $x_i=g^{f(i)}$ for all $i=1,\ldots,n-1$, where $f(i)\in\{1,\ldots,n-1\}$. If $1\leqslant i<j\leqslant n-1$, then $g^{a_i+f(i)}=g^{a_i}x_i\neq g^{a_j}x_j=g^{a_j+f(j)}$ and hence

$$f(i)+a_i\not\equiv f(j)+a_j\pmod n.$$

For each $i=1,\ldots,n-1$, as $(g^{f(i)})^{m_i}\neq 1$ we have $n\nmid f(i)m_i$.

So far we have completed the proof of Theorem 1.3. □

References

[A] N. Alon, *Combinatorial Nullstellensatz*, Combin. Probab. Comput. **8** (1999), 7–29.

[H] M. Hall, *A combinatorial problem on abelian groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **3** (1952), 584–587.

[KS] A. E. Kézdy and H. S. Snevily, *Distinct sums modulo n and tree embeddings*, Combin. Probab. Comput. **11** (2002), 35–42.

[Sn] H. S. Snevily, *The Cayley addition table of* $\mathbb{Z}_n$, Amer. Math. Monthly **106** (1999), 584–585.

[S95] Z.-W. Sun, *Covering the integers by arithmetic sequences*, Acta Arith. **72** (1995), 109–129.

[S03] Z.-W. Sun, *Unification of zero-sum problems, subset sums and covers of* $\mathbb{Z}$, Electron. Res. Announc. Amer. Math. Soc. **9** (2003), 51–60.

[S09] Z.-W. Sun, *A new conjecture in combinatorial number theory*, a Message to Number Theory Mailing List, Nov. 9, 2009. Available from `http://listserv.nodak.edu/cgi-bin/wa.exe?A2=NMBRTHRY;3c0f47f6.0911`

(Fan Ge) Department of Mathematics, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY-14627, USA

E-mail address: `fange.math@gmail.com`

(Zhi-Wei Sun) Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, People's Republic of China

E-mail address: `zwsun@nju.edu.cn`